HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 2254 OF 2003

Petitioner : Kishan Lal Salam, S/o Rajwa Ram, aged about 35 years, occupation- Chowkidar, R/o Village-Bharritola, Post Office-Dokla, Tah.- Charama, Distt. Kanker (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents :1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. Additional Secretary, Higher Education Technical Education, Raipur (C.G.).

3. Principal, Govt. College Charama, Distt. Kanker (C.G.).

4. Rupsingh Baghel, Chowkidar, Govt. College Bhanupratappur, Distt. Kanker (C.G.).

Present: Shri Awadh Tripathi, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Sandeep Dubey, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the respondents No. 1 to 3/State.
None for the respondent No. 4.

ORAL ORDER

(3rd January, 2006)

Heard.

1. This court, by order dated 25.8.2003, directed the respondents to permit the petitioner to continue at Government College Charama, District Kanker, if the

petitioner was not already relieved. It is informed that the petitioner was already relieved on 15.7.2003 before the order dated 25.8.2003 was passed by this court. More than two years have been passed, hence at this stage, it is not justified to interfere with the transfer order on any ground. It is for the employer to post an employee at a particular place keeping in view administrative exigency and public interest. The respondents/State may take any decision for posting the petitioner at any place in the public interest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner be granted liberty to make a representation before the competent authority to consider his case sympathetically for posting at appropriate place convenient to the petitioner. The petitioner is granted liberty to make a representation, if any. In the event,a representation is made by the petitioner, the authorities may consider the same within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation of the petitioner.

3. For the reasons stated above, the petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu